

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. *
BARRY ROSTHOLDER, ET AL. *

v. *

Civil No.: CCB-07-1283

OMNICARE, INC., ET AL. *

ORDER

Relator's motion and amended motion for reconsideration have been read, together with the defendants' opposition and the relator's reply. While I understand that relator disagrees with my memorandum and order issued August 14, 2012, this is not sufficient to satisfy Rule 59(e) standards. *Hutchinson v. Staton*, 994 F.2d 1076, 1081-82 (4th Cir. 1993). Nor has relator shown grounds to file yet another amended complaint.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. the initial motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 107) is **Denied as moot**; and
2. the amended motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 108) is **Denied**.

Date: October 19, 2012

_____/s/_____
Catherine C. Blake
United States District Judge